EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) ON PROPOSED CHANGES TO USS

November 2021

*This document has been redacted to include only percentage figures in order to avoid potentially disclosing any individual

a) What is the workforce profile in relation to employees' declarations on being covered by one or more of the nine protected characteristics; race, disability, age, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, religion and belief, sexual orientation and gender according to scheme membership? (Report as individuals irrespective of hours worked, including fixed term contract staff employed at the time of the Assessment).

| Disability | | | | Age | | | Race | |
|---|-------------------|----------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|--|
| 1. USS Members | | | | | | | | |
| Yes 7.6% | Under 25 | | 0.5% | | | | | |
| No 70.2% | 25-29 | | 5.9% | | | BAME | 8.6% | |
| Not Known 22.3% | 30-34 | 1 | 12.4% | | | White | 86.0% | |
| Grand Total 100.0% | 35-39 | 1 | 14.5% | | | Not Known | 5.4% | |
| | 40-44 | 1 | 18.1% | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | |
| USS Members with a Disability by Gender | 45-49 | 2 | 14.8% | | | | | |
| 5. m.l. | 50-54 | 1 | 13.8% | | | | | |
| Female 56.5% | 55-59 | 1 | 12.4% | | | | | |
| Male 43.0% | 60-64 | | 5.6% | | | | | |
| Prefer to self describe 0.5% | 65+ | | 2.0% | | | | | |
| Grand Total 100.0% | Grand Tota | ıl 10 | 00.0% | | | | | |
| | For USS M | | | re between ages nder Prefer | s 40 -54 | | | |
| | | | | to Self- | | | | |
| | | Female | | Describe | | | | |
| | | % | % | % | | | | |
| | Under 25 | | 35.7% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | 25-29 | | 49.7% | 0.6% | | | | |
| | 30-34 | | 45.0% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | 35-39 | | 47.3% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | 40-44 | | 45.8% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | 45-49 | | 45.0% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | 50-54 | | 50.8% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | 55-59 | | 56.4% | 0.3% | | | | |
| | 60-64 | | 65.0% 69.0% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | 65+ Grand | 31.0% | 69.0% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | Total | 50.4% | 49.5% | 0.1% | | | | |
| | | embers a | | | male, compared to | | | |

| 2. USS eligible | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|--------|-----------------|---|---------------|--------|
| nembers (those not | USS Eligible | | USS Eligible | <u> </u> | USS Eligible | |
| currently in the | Yes | 2.9% | Under 25 | | BAME | 35.5% |
| cheme but who are | No | 55.8% | 25-29 | 27.9% | White | 47.1% |
| ligible to join or | Not Known | 41.3% | 30-34 | 25.6% | Not Known | |
| ejoin) | 1100111101111 | 1210/0 | 35-39 | 22.1% | 1100111101111 | 271176 |
| | | | 40-44 | 7.6% | | |
| | | | 45-49 | 3.5% | | |
| | | | 50-54 | 2.9% | | |
| | | | 55-59 | | | |
| | | | I | 2.9% | | |
| | | | 60-64 | 3.5% | | |
| | | | 65+ | 1.2% | | |
| | | | combined m | igible staff those aged between 25 and 39 nake up 75.6% of this cohort, only 14% are in the | | |
| | | | 40-54 age r | ande | | |
| . Other RBP | | | | ~··g~· | | |
| cheme | RBP | | RBP | | RBP | |
| nembers | Yes | 12.2% | Under 25 | 2.1% | BAME | 2.6% |
| | No | 70.4% | 25-29 | 7.7% | White | 95.2% |
| | Not Known | | 30-34 | 15.2% | Not Known | 2.2% |
| | 1100111101111 | 11.070 | 35-39 | 16.2% | 1100111101111 | 2.273 |
| | | | 40-44 | 15.2% | | |
| | | | 45-49 | 12.1% | | |
| | | | 50-54 | 12.1% | | |
| | | | 55-59 | 11.3% | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | 60-64 | 6.5% | | |
| | | | 65+ | 1.4% | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | For those in | RBP 39.5% are aged between 40 to 54 | | |
| | | | 1 01 111000 111 | The object and agod between to to or | | |
| NHS | + | | | | | |
| 14110 | NHS | | NHS | | NHS | |
| | Yes | 1.5% | 25-29 | 1.5% | BAME | 1.5% |
| | No | 41.8% | 30-34 | 28.4% | White | 77.6% |
| | Not Known | 56.7% | 35-39 | 25.4% | Not Known | |
| | INOU KIIOWII | 30.770 | 40-44 | 19.4% | NOT KIIOWII | 20.3/0 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | 45-49 | 9.0% | | |
| | | | 50-54 | 11.9% | | |
| | | | 55-59 | 3.0% | | |
| | | | 60-64 | 1.5% | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | For those in NHS scheme 40.3% are in the 40 to 54 age range | |
|--|---|--|---|
| QUB NEST | QUB NEST No 50.0% Not Known 50.0% | QUB NEST 30-34 33.3% 35-39 8.3% 45-49 8.3% 50-54 16.7% 55-59 8.3% | QUB NEST White 41.7% Not Known 58.3% |
| | | 60-64 25.0% | |
| 4. Non scheme members (eligible for RBP but not currently in scheme) | RBP Eligible Yes 10.8% No 68.3% Not Known 20.9% | RBP Eligible Under 25 5.8% 25-29 7.9% 30-34 16.5% 35-39 17.3% 40-44 17.3% 45-49 12.9% 50-54 5.0% 55-59 5.8% 60-64 5.8% 65+ 5.8% Those eligible for RBP but not members 35.2% are in the 40 to 54 age range, whereas 41.7% are between 25 and 39. | RBP Eligible BAME 2.9% White 95.7% Not Known 1.4% |
| 5. Non USS Members (2 to 4 above combined) | Yes 10.4% No 67.1% Not Known 22.5% Grand Total 100.0% Non USS Members with a Disability by Gender Female 69.2% Male 29.5% Prefer to self describe 1.3% Grand Total 100.0% | Under 25 2.4% 25-29 9.7% 30-34 17.3% 35-39 17.3% 40-44 14.6% 45-49 11.0% 50-54 10.5% 55-59 9.5% 60-64 6.0% 65+ 1.7% Grand Total 100.0% For Non USS members combined 36.1% are aged 40-54 compared to 44.3% between 25 and 39. | BAME 6.3% Not Known 5.1% White 88.5% Grand Total 100.0% |

Non USS Members Age by Gender

| | Female % | Male % | Prefer to self describe % |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| Under 25 | 69.4% | 30.6% | 0.0% |
| 25-29 | 58.2% | 41.8% | 0.0% |
| 30-34 | 54.4% | 44.8% | 0.0% |
| | •, | | 0.075 |
| 35-39 | 55.4% | 44.2% | 0.4% |
| 40-44 | 64.8% | 34.2% | 0.9% |
| 45-49 | 69.7% | 30.3% | 0.0% |
| 50-54 | 69.6% | 30.4% | 0.0% |
| 55-59 | 66.2% | 33.8% | 0.0% |
| 60-64 | 65.6% | 34.4% | 0.0% |
| 65+ | 53.8% | 46.2% | 0.0% |
| Grand Total | 61.9% | 37.8% | 0.3% |

For Non USS Members age 40-54 67.7% are female, compared to 31.9% male.

| | | Community Background | | Sexual Orientation | Dependants |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. USS Members | | | | | |
| | Р | 30.5% | Of a different sex | 73.0% | Yes 51.6% |
| | RC | 37.5% | Of the same sex | 3.2% | No 39.3% |
| | ND | 31.9% | Of either sex | 1.0% | Not Known 9.1% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | I do not wish to | | Grand Total 100.0% |
| | | | answer | 9.9% | |
| | | | Not Known | 12.9% | USS Members with Dependants by Gender |
| | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | |
| | | | | | Female 50.5% |
| | | | | | Male 49.4% |
| | | | | | Prefer to self describe 0.1% |
| | | | | | Grand Total 100.0% |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 2. USS elig | aible | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------|-------------------------|---------|--------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| members | | USS Eligible | | USS Eligible | | | USS Eligible | |
| currently in | n the | P | 9.9% | Of a different sex | | 37.0% | Yes | 28.5% |
| scheme bu | | RC | 19.8% | Of either sex | | 0.3% | No | 52.3% |
| eligible to | join or | ND ND | 70.3% | Of the same sex | | 1.9% | Not Known | 19.2% |
| rejoin) | | | | I do not wish to answer | | 4.2% | THE KILOWIT | 13.270 |
| | | | | Not Known | | 11.9% | | |
| | | | | Not known | | 11.570 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Other | RBP | | | | | | | |
| scheme members | | RBP | | RBP | | | RBP | |
| Incinibora | | Р | 39.4% | Of a different sex | 75.8% | | Yes | 44.9% |
| | | RC | 42.0% | Of either sex | 2.2% | | No | 49.2% |
| | | ND | 11.9% | Of the same sex | 3.6% | | Not Known | 5.9% |
| | | | | I do not wish to answer | 9.7% | | | |
| | | | | Not Known | 8.7% | | | |
| | NHS | | | | | | | |
| | | NHS | | NHS | | | NHS | |
| | | P | 2.2% | Of a different sex | 64.2% | | Yes | 41.8% |
| | | RC | 1.6% | Of the same sex | 6.0% | | No | 28.4% |
| | | ND | 1.8% | I do not wish to answer | 4.5% | | Not Known | 29.9% |
| | OLID | | | Not Known | 25.4% | | | |
| | QUB NEST | 0115 11565 | | 0110 11505 | | | 0110 1150 | |
| | INLST | QUB NEST | 0.10/ | QUB NEST | 44.70/ | | QUB NEST | 44 70/ |
| | | P | 0.1% | Of a different sex | 41.7% | | Yes | 41.7% |
| 4 Non ook | | ND | 0.9% | Not Known | 58.3% | | Not Known | 58.3% |
| 4. Non sch | eligible for | RBP Eligible | | RBP Eligible | | | RBP Eligible | |
| | ot currently | P | 41.0% | Of a different sex | | 33.1% | Yes | 38.8% |
| in scheme | e) | RC | 41.7% | Of a different sex | | 1.6% | No | 53.2% |
| | | ND ND | 17.3% | Of the same sex | | 2.3% | Not Known | 7.9% |
| | | ND | 17.3% | I do not wish to answer | | 4.2% | NOT KHOWH | 7.5% |
| | | | | Not Known | | 3.5% | | |
| 5. Non US | S Members | | | NOC KITOWIT | | J.J/0 | | |
| (2 to 4 abo | | P | 38.0% | Of a different sex | 73.8% | | Yes | 42.3% |
| combined) | | RC | 40.7% | Of either sex | 2.0% | | No | 48.6% |
| | | ND | 21.3% | Of the same sex | 3.8% | | Not Known | 9.1% |
| | | Grand Total | 100.0% | I do not wish to answer | 9.1% | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| | | 2.2 | | Not Known | 11.3% | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | | Non USS Mem | bers with Dependants by Gender |
| | | | | 5.4.14 1044. | 200.070 | | | |
| | | | | | | | Female | 62.5% |
| | | | | | | | Male | 37.3% |
| | | | | | | | Prefer to self d | escribe 0.2% |
| | | | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |

| | | Marital Status | | Gender | Religious Beliefs | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------|--|--------|
| 1. USS Members | | | | | | |
| | Single 2 | 6.6% | Female | 50.4% | Buddhist | 0.5% |
| | Civil | | Male | 49.5% | Christian - Church of Ireland | 3.3% |
| | · · | 1.1% | Prefer to self describe | 0.1% | Christian - Methodist Church | 0.7% |
| | | 2.9% | Grand Total 1 | 00.0% | Christian - Other Denomination | 4.6% |
| | | 8.0% | | | Christian - Presbyterian | 5.3% |
| | | 1.4% | | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 15.4% |
| | | 0.6% | | | Hindu | 0.9% |
| | | 3.4% | | | Jewish | 0.2% |
| | Prefer not to | | | | Muslim | 1.3% |
| | ' | 2.1% | | | Spiritual | 0.9% |
| | | 4.0% | | | Any other religion or philosophical belief | 1.0% |
| | Grand Total 10 | 0.0% | | | No Religion | 19.3% |
| | | | | | Prefer not to say | 4.9% |
| | | | | | Not Known | 41.8% |
| | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| . USS eligible | | | | | | |
| nembers (those not | USS Eligible | | USS Eligible | | USS Eligible | |
| rrently in the cheme but who are | Single | 43.0% | Female 44.2% | | Buddhist | 1.7% |
| igible to join or | Divorced | 1.7% | Male 55.8% | | Christian - Methodist Church | 0.6% |
| ejoin) | Married | 33.7% | | | Christian - Other Denomination | 2.9% |
| , | Separated | 1.7% | | | Christian - Presbyterian | 0.6% |
| | Widowed | 0.6% | | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 14.5% |
| | Other | 1.7% | | | Hindu | 10.5% |
| | Prefer not to say | 1.7% | | | Muslim | 9.9% |
| | Not Known | 15.7% | | | Sikh | 0.6% |
| | | | | | Spiritual | 1.2% |
| | | | | | Any other religion or philosophical belief | 0.6% |
| | | | | | No Religion | 17.4% |
| | | | | | Prefer not to say | 1.2% |
| | | | | | Not Known | 38.4% |
| Other RBP | | | | | | |
| cheme | RBP | | RBP | | RBP | |
| embers | Single | 39.2% | Female | 65.7% | Buddhist | 0.3% |
| | Civil Partnership | 0.7% | Male | 33.9% | Christian - Church of Ireland | 5.0% |
| | Divorced | 3.3% | Prefer to self describe | 0.4% | Christian - Methodist Church | 1.9% |
| | Married | 48.1% | | | Christian - Other Denomination | 4.4% |
| | Prefer not to say | 2.0% | | | Christian - Presbyterian | 5.9% |
| | Separated | 1.3% | | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 18.2% |
| | Widowed | 0.9% | | | Hindu | 0.1% |
| | Other | 3.6% | | | Jewish | 0.1% |
| | Not Known | 1.0% | | | Muslim | 0.2% |
| | | | | | Spiritual | 0.9% |

| | | | Any other religion or philosophical belief | 0.5% |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------|
| | | | No Religion | 20.8% |
| | | | Prefer not to say | 5.0% |
| | | | Not Known | 36.8% |
| | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| NHS | | | | |
| | NHS | NHS | NHS | |
| | Single 16.4% | Female 41.8% | Christian - Church of Ireland | 6.0% |
| | Divorced 1.5% | Male 58.2% | Christian - Methodist Church | 1.5% |
| | Married 53.7% | | Christian - Other Denomination | 10.4% |
| | Prefer not to say 3.0% | | Christian - Presbyterian | 6.0% |
| | Other 1.5% | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 9.0% |
| | Not Known 23.9% | | No Religion | 7.5% |
| | | | Prefer not to say | 3.0% |
| OLID | | | Not Known | 56.7% |
| QUB NEST | QUB NEST | QUB NEST | QUB NEST | |
| | Single 8.3% | Female 25.0% | Christian - Presbyterian | 8.3% |
| | Civil Partnership 8.3% | Male 75.0% | No Religion | 16.7% |
| | Married 33.3% | | Prefer not to say | 16.7% |
| | Not Known 50.0% | | Not Known | 58.3% |
| on scheme | | | | |
| nbers (eligible for | RBP Eligible | RBP Eligible | RBP Eligible | |
| but not currently | Single 47.5% | Female 66.2% | Christian - Church of Ireland | 4.3% |
| cheme) | Civil Partnership 0.7% | Male 33.1% | Christian - Other Denomination | 1.4% |
| | Divorced 3.6% | Prefer to self describe 0.7% | Christian - Presbyterian | 3.6% |
| | Married 35.3% | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 16.5% |
| | Separated 2.2% | | Hindu | 0.7% |
| | Widowed 0.7% | | Jewish | 0.7% |
| | Other 6.5% | | Spiritual | 0.7% |
| | Prefer not to say 1.4% | | Any other religion or philosophical belief | 2.9% |
| | Not Known 2.2% | | No Religion | 18.0% |
| | | | Prefer not to say | 4.3% |
| | | | Not Known | 46.8% |
| on USS Members | | | | |
| o 4 above bined) | Single 39.1% | Female 61.9% | Buddhist | 0.4% |
| bilica) | Civil | Male 37.8% | Christian - Church of Ireland | 4.3% |
| | Partnership 0.7% | Prefer to self describe 0.3% | Christian - Methodist Church | 1.5% |
| | Divorced 3.1% | Grand Total 100.0% | Christian - Other Denomination | 4.2% |
| | Married 45.4% Prefer not to | | Christian - Presbyterian | 5.1% |
| | say 1.9% | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 17.1% |
| | Separated 1.3% | | Hindu | 1.3% |
| | Widowed 0.8% | | Jewish | 0.1% |
| | Other 3.5% | | Muslim | 1.3% |
| | Other 3.376 | | Sikh | 0.1% |

| Not Known | 4.2% | Spiritual | 0.9 |
|--------------------|--------|--|-------|
| Grand Total | 100.0% | Any other religion or philosophical belief | 0.7 |
| | | No Religion | 19.5 |
| | | Prefer not to say | 4.59 |
| | | Not Known | 39.0 |
| | | Grand Total | 100.0 |

| | | | Full-T | ime/Par | t-Time |
|------------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | r un-1 | IIII C /Fai | t-111116 |
| 1. USS Me | embers | FT | 87.5% | | |
| | | PT | 12.5% | | |
| | | Grand Total | 100.0% | | |
| | | USS Member | s PT/FT by | Gender | |
| | | | Famala | NA-L- | Prefer to self |
| | | | Female % | iviale % | describe % |
| | | FT | | 52.6% | 0.1% |
| | | PT | 71.8% | | 0.0% |
| | | Grand Total | 50.4% | | 0.1% |
| 2. USS eli | (those not | USS Eligible | | | |
| currently ir scheme bu | | FT | | 90.1% | |
| eligible to rejoin) | | PT | | 9.9% | |
| 3. Other | RBP | | | | |
| scheme | | RBP | | | |
| members | | FT | 72.2% | | |
| | | PT | 27.8% | | |
| | NHS | NHS | | | |
| | | FT | 59.7% | | |
| | | PT | 40.3% | | |
| | QUB | QUB NEST | | | |
| | NEST | FT | 83.3% | | |
| | | PT | 16.7% | | |
| | | | | | |

| 4. Non scheme members (eligible for RBP but not currently in scheme) | RBP Eligible FT PT | | .1% .9% | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|--|
| 5. Non USS Members (2 to 4 above combined) | FT PT Grand Total Non USS Memb | 72.3% 27.7% 100.0% ers PT/F | T by Ge | ender | | |
| | | Female | Male % | Prefer to self describe % | Total % | |
| | FT | 54.7% | 44.9% | 0.4% | 100.0% | |
| | PT | 80.5% | 19.2% | 0.2% | 100.0% | |
| | Grand Total | 61.9% | 37.8% | 0.3% | 100.0% | |

b) Gaps in data

Institutions to identify any gaps in the data and the possible reasons for the gaps.

For example: The above data excludes hourly paid staff due to [state reason]. There is also insufficient disclosure on staff records to provide meaningful data on x and x (insert relevant protected characteristics).

We monitor to comply with Northern Ireland legislation as set out in Section 75 of the NI Act. We collect data on the following grounds, Disability. Age, Race, Community Background, Sexual Orientation, Dependants, Marital Status, Gender and Religious Belief and therefore we have completed the template for these fields. We have also added in Full-Time/Part-Time as we feel this would be useful to consider.

Template form for full Equality Impact Assessment of changes to the USS

| Name of person completing this form: | Ciara Smyth |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Job title: | Pensions Manager |
| Department: | Finance Department |
| Telephone number: | 02890 973485 |
| Email address: | c.smyth@qub.ac.uk |

STEP 1 The proposed revisions to the USS are as follows:

- (1) From 1 April 2022 the rate at which members will build up future benefits in the DB section (USS's retirement income builder section) will change from 1 April 2022 (to a lower rate of 1/85 of salary compared to the current 1/75 of salary, and a separate lump sum of 3/85 rather than 3/75, up to the Salary Threshold)
- (2) From 1 April 2022, the Salary Threshold will reduce from £59,883.65 to £40,000
- (3) From 1 April 2023, the Salary Threshold will continue to increase annually in line with official pensions, which are currently increased in line with the Consumer Prices Index (CPI), but subject to a lower maximum increase of 2.5% a year until 31 March 2025 or if earlier, the date of any change concluded by a review by the JNC of the amount of the Salary Threshold
- (4) Benefits earned in the USS Retirement Income Builder from 1 April 2022 will continue to see increases applied annually before and after members retire, but subject to a lower maximum of 2.5% a year
- (5) From 1 April 2022, there will be a change of benefits for those who are members of USS for a short period (more than three months but less than two years).
- (6) The JNC has proposed changes to the scheme so that contributions won't need to rise significantly and impact affordability for members. However, in the absence of the JNC's (or other) proposed changes being executed by 28 February 2022, there is a proposed fall-back position, where contribution rates will increase every six months from 1 April 2022. In the fall-back position, the increase would be from the October 2021 levels of 9.8% to 18.8% of salary for members by 1 October 2025. For employers, the increase would be from 21.4% to 38.2%.

| | Members (% of salary) | Employers (% of salary) |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| From 1 April 2022 to 30 September 2022: | 11.0% | 23.7% |
| From 1 October 2022 to 31 March 2023: | 12.9% | 27.1% |
| From 1 April 2023 to 30 September 2023: | 13.9% | 29.1% |
| From 1 October 2023 to 31 March 2024: | 15.0% | 31.0% |
| From 1 April 2024 to 30 September 2024: | 16.0% | 33.0% |
| From 1 October 2024 to 31 March 2025: | 17.1% | 34.9% |
| From 1 April 2025 to 30 September 2025: | 18.1% | 36.9% |
| From 1 October 2025 onwards: | 18.8% | 38.2% |
| | | |

Additional information required

For example:

Comparability data for the proposed changes to the USS from the other pension schemes to which employees of the institution belong. The data should perhaps cover all of the areas of the proposed changes and the elements set out below are by way of example.

This institution has employees in the following schemes:

| Scheme | DB accrual rate (and threshold if applies) | Indexation and revaluation of pensions | Benefits for those who leave the scheme with less than two years' service (more than three months) | Contributions for employers and members | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| TPS (or regional equivalent) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | | |
| LGPS (or regional equivalent) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | | |
| SAT | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | | |
| SAUL | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | | |
| NHSPS | HSCNI 1995 = 1/80 th – No threshold (Final Salary) HSCNI 2008 = 1/60 th – No threshold (Final Salary) HSCNI 2015 = 1/54 th – No threshold (CARE) | For 1995 & 2008 categories: Deferred pensions revalue by CPI max 5% For 2015 category: CARE blocks revalue by CPI +1.5% For all categories: | For all categories: Refund of contributions or transfer to another arrangement (timescales on transfer). | Member rates: Tier Full-Time Pensionable Pay used to determine contribution rate Contribution rate (before tax relief) (gross) 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2019 1 Up to £15,431.99 5.0% 2 £15,432.00 to £21,477.99 5.6% 3 £21,478.00 to £26,823.99 7.1% 4 £26,824.00 to £47,845.99 9.3% 5 £47,846.00 to £70,630.99 12.5% 6 £70,631.00 to £111,376.99 13.5% 7 £111,377.00 and over 14.5% | | | | | | |

| | | Pensions in payment increase by Official Pension Increase Order | | Employer = 22.5% |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Other e.g NEST, NOW Pensions, GPP etc (please specify) | RBP 1/80 th – No threshold | Deferred pensions revalue by CPI max 5% Pensions in payment increase by Official Pension Increase Order | Refund of contributions or transfer to another arrangement. | Member = 9% Employer = 21% |
| | NEST – DC Arrangement | N/A | Fund remains invested with NEST | Member = 5% Employer = 3% |

STEP 2 Analysis of the proposed reforms to the USS

Having regard to the duty to promote equality and eliminate discrimination, do the proposed reforms to the USS minimise unfairness? Do they have a disproportionate negative effect on people with one or more of the nine protected characteristics?

In completing the impact assessment using this form, if it is anticipated that the proposed reforms will have a negative impact on one or more of the protected groups, note the likely impact including whether there is direct or indirect discrimination and whether such discrimination can be justified, identify the range of options to address it in order to meet the general equality duties, identify the effect of each option, the preferred option and the reasons for preferring it. Possible options include feeding back to the USS Trustee on the basis of the impact that the reforms have at this institution that:

- (i) there should be no change to the proposed reform;
- (ii) the proposed reform should be adjusted in a particular way;
- (iii) that it should continue with the proposed reform; or
- (iv) that it should abandon the proposed reform (noting the implications and specifically the fall-back position stated by the USS trustee).

Any options chosen must be informed by the evidence available. Evidence may need to be supplemented by consultation, where appropriate, with affected groups. Sufficient evidence will be required to allow conclusions to be drawn. If the evidence is insufficient, consultation with affected groups may be necessary. Institutions must retain a record of evidence relied upon.

(1) Proposed reform:

From 1 April 2022 the rate at which members will build up future benefits in the DB section (USS's retirement income builder section) will change from 1 April 2022 (to a lower rate of 1/85 of salary compared to the current 1/75 of salary, and a separate lump sum of 3/85 rather than 3/75, up to the Salary Threshold).

| Anticipated impact of proposed reform on existing USS members | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Positive | Negative | Neutral | | | | | | |
| Race | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Disability | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Sex | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Age | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Gender reassignment | | N/A | | | | | | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | | N/A | | | | | | | |
| Religion and belief | | ✓ | | | | | | | |
| Sexual orientation | | ✓ | | | | | | | |

If it is anticipated that the proposed reform will have a negative impact on one or more of these protected groups, please provide below the:

- Range of options for addressing anticipated negative impact:
- Preferred option for addressing likely negative effect:
- Reason for preferring this option

Proposed reform 1 has a negative impact on all USS members. A reduction in accrual rate would reduce the amount of Retirement Income Builder (defined benefit) pension each member builds up annually. Some protected groups will be impacted more than others due to the composition of USS members at Queen's, by protected characteristics. For example, 46.7% of USS members are between ages 40-54 and 37.5% of USS members identify as being from a Roman Catholic community background.

In addition, as the proposal reduces the amount of Retirement Income Builder pension each member builds annually going forward, those older members with longer service who are able/wish to retire sooner, will be less impacted than younger members, or those who have just joined the Scheme. As the proposed changes will impact benefits accrued from 1 April 2022 only, it can be assumed that older members have already built up most of their Retirement Income Builder pension which will have been calculated at the more generous accrual rate. Of those eligible to become USS members, 75.6% are aged 25-39 with only 14% of eligible USS members aged 40-54.

When analysing the data from the screening exercise at an intersectional level, certain groups may appear to be more adversely impacted by the proposal than others. For example, women with disabilities, women aged between 40 and 44 years old and women with dependants and women who work part-time.

| Anticipated negative impact of proposed reform on existing non-USS members | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Range of options | Preferred Option | Reason for preferred option | | | | | | |
| Race | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | | | |
| Disability | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | | | |
| Sex | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | | | |
| Age | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | | | |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | | | |

| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | | |
| Religion and belief No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Sexual orientation No change to the reform, continue with the re | | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |

(2) Proposed reform:

From 1 April 2022, the Salary Threshold will reduce from £59,883.65 to £40,000.

| | Disability | Age | Race |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| 1. USS | | | |
| Members | Yes 7.6% | Under 25 0.5% | BAME 8.6% |
| | No 70.2% | 25-29 5.9% | White 86.0% |
| | Not Known 22.3% | 30-34 12.4% | Not Known 5.4% |
| | Grand Total 100.0% | 35-39 14.5% | Grand Total 100.0% |
| | | 40-44 18.1% | |
| | USS Members with a Disability by Go | <u>45-49</u> 14.8% | |
| | Female 56.5% | 50-54 13.8% | |
| | Male 43.0% | 55-59 12.4% | |
| | Prefer to self describe 0.5% | 60-64 5.6% | |
| | | 65+ 2.0% | |
| | Grand Total 100.0% | Grand Total 100.0% | |
| | | | |
| | | USS Members Age by Gender | |
| | | Occ monisoro rigo by condor | |
| | | | |
| | | Male Prefer to self | |
| | | Female describe | |
| | | % % | |
| | | Under 25 64.3% 35.7% 0.0% | |
| | | 25-29 49.7% 49.7% 0.6% | |
| | | 30-34 55.0% 45.0% 0.0% | |
| | | 35-39 52.7% 47.3% 0.0% | |
| | | 40-44 54.2% 45.8% 0.0% | |
| | | 45-49 55.0% 45.0% 0.0% | |
| | | 50-54 49.2% 50.8% 0.0% | |
| | | 55-59 43.3% 56.4% 0.3% | |
| | | 60-64 35.0% 65.0% 0.0% | |
| | | 65+ 31.0% 69.0% 0.0% | |
| | | Grand Total 50.4% 49.5% 0.1% | |
| | | Total 30.4% 49.3% 0.1% | |
| | | | |
| | | For USS Members age 40-54 53.0% are female, compared to 47.0% male | |
| USS | | | |
| Members | Yes 8.1% | 30-34 0.2% | BAME 6.7% |
| Above | No 81.8% | 35-39 3.7% | White 91.2% |
| salary | Not Known 10.1% | 40-44 14.5% | Not Known 2.1% |
| £59883.65 | Grand Total 100.0% | 45-49 19.8% | Grand Total 100.0% |
| | | 50-54 22.3% | |

| | T | | 1 | | | _ |
|-----------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|------------|
| | UCC Mambara a | hava CE0002 CE with a Diaghi | 55-59 | 24.6% | | |
| | | bove £59883.65 with a Disabi | 60-64 | 11.5% | | |
| | by Gender | | 65+ | 3.5% | | |
| | Female | 34.8% | Grand Total | 100.0% | | |
| | Male | 65.2% | | | | |
| | Grand Total | | USS Members A | Age by Gende | r over | <u>59K</u> |
| | Granu Total | 100.0% | | | | |
| | | | | Female | | |
| | | | | % | % | |
| | | | 30-34 | 0.0% | 100.0 | % |
| | | | 35-39 | 33.3% | 66.7 | % |
| | | | 40-44 | 36.6% | 63.4 | % |
| | | | 45-49 | 45.5% | 54.5 | % |
| | | | 50-54 | 34.1% | 65.9 | % |
| | | | 55-59 | 27.3% | 72.7 | % |
| | | | 60-64 | 13.8% | 86.2 | % |
| | | | 65+ | 40.0% | | |
| | | | Grand Total | 32.9% | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | For USS Membe | rs above 59K a | age 40 | -54 38 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| USS | | | | | | |
| Members | Vos | 7.9% | 25-29 | 0.4% | | |
| Members | Yes | | | | | |
| Above | No | 76.6% | 30-34 | 6.6% | | |
| salary | Not Known | 15.5% | 35-39 | 13.0% | | |
| £40000.00 | Grand Total | 100.0% | 40-44 | 21.1% | | |
| | USS Mambara a | bove £40,000.00 with a Disab | 45-49 | 17.5% | | |
| | by Gender | bove £40,000.00 with a Disab | | 16.7% | | |
| | by Gender | | 55-59 | 16.0% | | |
| | Female | 50.7% | 60-64 | 6.8% | | |
| | Male | 48.6% | 65+ | 1.8% | | |
| | Prefer to self | 48.0% | Grand Total | 100.0% | | |
| | describe | 0.7% | | | | |
| | describe | 0.776 | USS Members A | Age by Gende | r over | <u>40K</u> |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | M | ale I | |
| | | | | | | o self |
| | | | | Female | | lescrib |
| | | | | % % | | 6 |
| | | | 25-29 | 50.0% 50 | | 0.0% |
| | | | 30-34 | 44.1% 55 | | 0.0% |
| | | | 35-39 | 50.4% 49 | 9.6% | 0.0% |
| | | | 40-44 | 48.1% 51 | 1.9% | 0.0% |
| | | | 45-49 | 51.3% 48 | 3.7% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | | 0.0% |
| | | | 50-54 | 43.6% 56 | 5.4% | |

| | | 55-59 | | 60.0% | 0.4% | | | | |
|------------|---|--------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------|
| | | 60-64 | | % 73.0% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | | 65+ | 34.49 | 65.6% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | | Grand Total | 44.9% | 6 55.0% | 0.1% | | | | |
| | | For USS Memb | ers above | 40K age 4 | 10-54 47.8% are | female, compared to t | 52.2% male. | | |
| 2. Non USS | | | | | | | | | |
| Members | Yes 10.4% | Under 25 | 2.4% | | | | | BAME | 6.3% |
| | No 67.1% | 25-29 | 9.7% | | | | | Not Known | 5.1% |
| | Not Known 22.5% | 30-34 | 17.3% | | | | | White | 88.5% |
| | Grand Total 100.0% | 35-39 | 17.3% | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| | Non-HCC Mambana with a Disability by Co. 1 | 40-44 | 14.6% | | | | | | |
| | Non USS Members with a Disability by Gender | 45-49 | 11.0% | | | | | | |
| | Female 69.2% | 50-54 | 10.5% | | | | | | |
| | | 55-59 | 9.5% | | | | | | |
| | | 60-64 | 6.0% | | | | | | |
| | Prefer to self describe 1.3% | 65+ | 1.7% | | | | | | |
| | Grand Total 100.0% | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | | | | |
| | | Non USS Mem | bers Age l | by Gende | <u>r</u> | | | | |
| | | | | | Prefer to | | | | |
| | | | | | self | | | | |
| | | | Female | | describe | | | | |
| | | | % | % | % | | | | |
| | | Under 25 | 69.4% | 30.6% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | | 25-29 | 58.2% | | 0.0% | | | | |
| | | 30-34 | 54.4% | 44.8% | 0.8% | | | | |
| | | 35-39 | 55.4% | 44.2% | 0.4% | | | | |
| | | 40-44 | 64.8% | 34.2% | 0.9% | | | | |
| | | 45-49 | 69.7% | 30.3% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | | 50-54 | 69.6% | 30.4% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | | 55-59 | 66.2% | 33.8% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | | 60-64 | 65.6% | 34.4% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | | 65+ | 53.8% | 46.2% | 0.0% | | | | |
| | | Grand Total | 61.9% | 37.8% | 0.3% | | | | |

| Non USS | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Members | Yes | 8.0% | 35-39 | 12.0% | | | BAME | 4.09 |
| | No | 56.0% | 40-44 | 32.0% | | | White | 88.0% |
| Above | | | 45-49 | 20.0% | | | | 8.0% |
| salary | Not Known | 36.0% | | | | | Not Known | |
| 59883.65 | Grand Total | 100.0% | 50-54 | 16.0% | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| | Non USS Membe | rs above £59883.65 with a | 55-59 | 12.0% | | | | |
| | Disability by Ger | | 00 04 | 8.0% | | | | |
| | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | | |
| | Female | 50.0% | Non USS Memb | ers Age by G | ender over | er 59K | | |
| | Male | 50.0% | Iton ood monis | ororigo by o | .011401 011 | N OON | | |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | | Female | Male | | | |
| | | | | % | % | | | |
| | | | 35-39 | 33.3% | 66.7% | | | |
| | | | 40-44 | 25.0% | | | | |
| | | | 45-49 | 20.0% | | | | |
| | | | 50-54 | 25.0% | | | | |
| | | | 55-59 | 66.7% | | | | |
| | | | 60-64 | 0.0% | | | | |
| | | | Grand Total | 28.0% | | | | |
| Non USS | | | | | | | | |
| Members | Yes | 4.1% | 30-34 | 27.0% | | | BAME | 6.8% |
| | No | 56.8% | 35-39 | 23.0% | | | White | 79.7% |
| lbove alary | Not Known | 39.2% | 40-44 | 20.3% | | | Not Known | 13.5% |
| 40000.00 | Grand Total | 100.0% | 45-49 | 12.2% | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| | | | 50-54 | 6.8% | | | | |
| | | rs above £40,000.00 with a | <u>a</u> 55-59 | 5.4% | | | | |
| | Disability by Ger | <u>ider</u> | 60-64 | 4.1% | | | | |
| | Female | 33.3% | 65+ | 1.4% | | | | |
| | Male | 66.7% | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | | |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | | | | |
| | Grand Total | 100.076 | Non USS Memb | <u>ers Age by G</u> | ender over | er 40K | | |
| | | | | Famala I | Mala | | | |
| | | | | Female N | | | | |
| | | | 20.24 | | % | | | |
| | | | 30-34 | 25.0% | | | | |
| | | | 35-39 | 41.2% | | | | |
| | | | 40-44 | | 60.0% | | | |
| | | | 45-49 | | 88.9% | | | |
| | | | 50-54 | | 60.0% | | | |
| | | | 55-59 | 75.0% | | | | |
| | | | 60-64 | | 66.7% | | | |
| | | | 65+ | 0.0% 1 | | | | |
| | | | Grand Total | 33.8% | 66.2% | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | | Community Background | | Sexual Orientation | Dependants |
|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| 1. USS Members | | | | | |
| | Р | 30.5% | Of a different sex | 73.0% | Yes 51.6% |
| | RC | 37.5% | Of the same sex | 3.2% | No 39.3% |
| | ND | 31.9% | Of either sex | 1.0% | Not Known 9.1% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | I do not wish to | | Grand Total 100.0% |
| | | | answer | 9.9% | |
| | | | Not Known | 12.9% | USS Members with Dependants by Gender |
| | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | |
| | | | | | Female 50.5% |
| | | | | | Male 49.4% |
| | | | | | Prefer to self describe 0.1% |
| | | | | | Grand Total 100.0% |
| bove salary | | | | | |
| 59883.65 | Р | 32.9% | Of a different sex | 65.0% | Yes 64.3% |
| | RC | 36.6% | Of the same sex | 2.7% | No 22.6% |
| | ND | 30.6% | Of either sex | 0.2% | Not Known 13.1% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | I do not wish to | | Grand Total 100.0% |
| | | | answer | 12.7% | 1100 M |
| | | | Not Known | 19.4% | USS Members over 59K with Dependants by Gender |
| | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | Female 33.5% |
| | | | | | Male 66.5% |
| | | | | | |
| SS Members | | | | | Grand Total 100.0% |
| oo membero | P | 31.4% | Of a different sex | 72.3% | Yes 58.0% |
| bove salary | RC | 38.3% | Of the same sex | 3.0% | No 33.4% |
| 40000.00 | ND | 30.3% | Of either sex | 0.6% | Not Known 8.6% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | I do not wish to | 0.076 | Grand Total 100.0% |
| | Grana rotai | 130.070 | answer | 11.2% | Grand Total 100.070 |
| | | | Not Known | 13.0% | USS Members over 40K with Dependants by Gender |
| | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | |
| | | | | | Female 44.5% |
| | | | | | Male 55.4% |
| | | | | | Prefer to self describe 0.1% |
| | | | | | Grand Total 100.0% |
| | | | | | |
| Non LICC Mambara | | | | | |
| Non USS Members | | 38.0% | Of a different sex | 73.8% | Yes 42.3% |
| | P | | | | |
| | RC ND | 40.7% | Of either sex | 2.0% | No 48.6% |
| | ND | 21.3% | Of the same sex | 3.8% | Not Known 9.1% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | I do not wish to answ | | Grand Total 100.0% |
| | | | Not Known | 11.3% | |
| | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | |

| | | | | | Non USS Membe | ers with Depend | ants by Gender |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Female | 62.5% | |
| | | | | | Male | 37.3% | |
| | | | | | Prefer to self desc | ribe 0.2% | |
| | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | |
| Non USS Members | | | | | | | |
| Above colomy | Р | 36.0% | Of a different sex | 92.0% | Yes | 76.0% | |
| Above salary £59883.65 | RC | 52.0% | I do not wish to | | No | 16.0% | |
| 209003.00 | ND | 12.0% | answer | 4.0% | Not Known | 8.0% | |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | Not Known | 4.0% | Grand Total | 100.0% | |
| | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | |
| | | | | | | ers over 59K wit | h Dependants by |
| | | | | | <u>Gender</u> | | |
| | | | | | | 2.5.20/ | |
| | | | | | Female | 26.3% | |
| | | | | | Male | 73.7% | |
| | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | |
| Non USS Members | | | | | | | |
| Above colors | P | 24.3% | Of a different sex | 73.0% | Yes | 47.3% | |
| Above salary £40000.00 | RC | 33.8% | I do not wish to | | No | 32.4% | |
| 24000.00 | ND | 41.9% | answer | 4.1% | Not Known | 20.3% | |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | Not Known | 16.2% | Grand Total | 100.0% | |
| | | | Of the same sex | 6.8% | | | |
| | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | | ers over 40K wit | h Dependants by |
| | | | | | <u>Gender</u> | | |
| | | | | | Famala | 24.40/ | |
| | | | | | Female | 31.4% | |
| | | | | | Male | 68.6% | |
| | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% | |

| | | Marital Status | Gender | Religious Belief |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--|
| 1. USS Members | | | | |
| | Single | 26.6% | Female 50.4% | Buddhist 0.5% |
| | Civil | | Male 49.5% | Christian - Church of Ireland 3.3% |
| | Partnership | 1.1% | Prefer to self describe 0.1% | Christian - Methodist Church 0.7% |
| | Divorced | 2.9% | Grand Total 100.0% | Christian - Other Denomination 4.6% |
| | Married | 58.0% | | Christian - Presbyterian 5.3% |
| | Separated | 1.4% | | Christian - Roman Catholic 15.4% |
| | Widowed | 0.6% | | Hindu 0.9% |
| | Other | 3.4% | | Jewish 0.2% |
| | Prefer not to | | | Muslim 1.3% |
| | say | 2.1% | | Spiritual 0.9% |
| | Not Known | 4.0% | | |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | | Any other religion or philosophical belief 1.0% No Religion 19.3% |

| | | | | | Prefer not to say | 4.9% |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|--|--------|
| | | | | | Not Known | 41.8% |
| | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| | | | | | | |
| JSS Members | | | | | | |
| | Single | 13.3% | Female | 32.9% | Buddhist | 0.5% |
| Above salary | Married | 73.3% | Male | 67.1% | Christian - Church of Ireland | 3.2% |
| 59883.65 | Civil Partnership | 1.1% | Grand Total | 100.0% | Christian - Methodist Church | 0.9% |
| | Widowed | 0.9% | | | Christian - Other Denomination | 3.9% |
| | Divorced | 3.0% | | | Christian - Presbyterian | 5.5% |
| | Separated | 1.9% | | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 11.8% |
| | Other | 1.8% | | | Hindu | 0.5% |
| | Prefer not to say | 3.2% | | | Jewish | 0.4% |
| | Not Known | 1.6% | | | Muslim | 0.2% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | Spiritual | 0.7% |
| | | | | | Any other religion or philosophical belief | 1.9% |
| | | | | | No Religion | 17.7% |
| | | | | | Prefer not to say | 5.5% |
| | | | | | Not Known | 47.3% |
| | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| SS Members | | | | | | |
| L I | Single | 20.2% | Female | 44.9% | Buddhist | 0.4% |
| bove salary 40000.00 | Married | 65.3% | Male | 55.0% | Christian - Church of Ireland | 3.2% |
| +0000.00 | Civil Partnership | 1.1% | Prefer to self describe | 0.1% | Christian - Methodist Church | 0.7% |
| | Widowed | 0.7% | Grand Total | 100.0% | Christian - Other Denomination | 4.3% |
| | Divorced | 3.0% | | | Christian - Presbyterian | 4.9% |
| | Separated | 1.4% | | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 13.7% |
| | Other | 3.2% | | | Hindu | 0.7% |
| | Prefer not to say | 2.7% | | | Jewish | 0.3% |
| | Not Known | 2.3% | | | Muslim | 0.6% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | Spiritual | 0.8% |
| | | | | | Any other religion or philosophical belief | 1.0% |
| | | | | | No Religion | 17.6% |
| | | | | | Prefer not to say | 5.2% |
| | | | | | Not Known | 46.7% |
| | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| 2. Non USS Members | s | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------|-----------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Single | 39.1% | Female | 61.9% | Buddhist | 0.4% |
| | Civil | | Male | 37.8% | Christian - Church of Ireland | 4.3% |
| | Partnership | 0.7% | Prefer to self descri | be 0.3% | Christian - Methodist Church | 1.5% |
| | Divorced | 3.1% | Grand Total | 100.0% | Christian - Other Denomination | 4.2% |
| | Married | 45.4% | | | Christian - Presbyterian | 5.1% |
| | Prefer not to | | | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 17.1% |
| | say | 1.9% | | | Hindu | 1.3% |
| | Separated | 1.3% | | | Jewish | 0.1% |
| | Widowed | 0.8% | | | Muslim | 1.3% |
| | Other | 3.5% | | | Sikh | 0.1% |
| | Not Known | 4.2% | | | Spiritual | 0.9% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | Any other religion or philosophical | belief 0.7% |
| | | | | | No Religion | 19.5% |
| | | | | | Prefer not to say | 4.5% |
| | | | | | Not Known | 39.0% |
| | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| Non USS Members | | | | | | |
| A b ove colomy | Single | 4.0% | Female | 28.0% | Christian - Church of Ireland | 4.0% |
| Above salary E59883.65 | Divorced | 8.0% | Male | 72.0% | Christian - Other Denomination | 16.0% |
| .55005.05 | Married | 76.0% | Grand Total | 100.0% | Christian - Presbyterian | 4.0% |
| | Not Known | 4.0% | | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 16.0% |
| | Prefer not to say | 8.0% | | | No Religion | 8.0% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | Not Known | 44.0% |
| | | | | | Prefer not to say | 8.0% |
| | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |
| Non USS Members | | | | | | |
| Above salary | Single | 23.0% | Female | 33.8% | Christian - Church of Ireland | 2.7% |
| E40000.00 | Divorced | 4.1% | Male | 66.2% | Christian - Other Denomination | 6.8% |
| | Married | 50.0% | Grand Total | 100.0% | Christian - Presbyterian | 2.7% |
| | Not Known | 14.9% | | | Christian - Roman Catholic | 12.2% |
| | Other | 1.4% | | | Muslim | 1.4% |
| | Prefer not to say | 2.7% | | | Spiritual | 1.4% |
| | Separated | 2.7% | | | Any other religion or philosophical | |
| | Widowed | 1.4% | | | No Religion | 8.1% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | Prefer not to say | 4.1% |
| | | | | | Not Known | 59.5% |
| | | | | | Grand Total | 100.0% |

| 1. USS | | |
|---------|--------------------|--------|
| Members | FT | 87.5% |
| | PT | 12.5% |
| | Grand Total | 100.0% |

| | USS Member | rs PT/FT I | by Gend | ler |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| | | | • | Prefe to se |
| | | Fema % | ile Mal | e descr % |
| | FT | 47.3 | | |
| | PT | 71.8 | | |
| Hec | Grand Total | 50.4 | 1% 49.5 | % 0 |
| USS Members | FT | | 97.9% | |
| | PT | | 2.1% | |
| Above | Grand Total | | 100.0% | |
| salary £59883.65 | | | | T.L. C |
| | USS Member | rs over 59 | K P I/F | ı by Ger |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Female | Male |
| | | | % | % |
| | FT | | 32.9% | |
| | PT | | 33.3% | |
| USS | Grand Total | | 32.9% | 67.1% |
| Members | FT | c | 96.4% | |
| | PT | | 3.6% | |
| Above | Grand Total | | 00.0% | |
| salary £40000.00 | | | | - |
| | USS Member | rs over 40 | OK PT/F | T by Ge |
| | | | | Prefer |
| | | | | to self |
| | | Female | | describe |
| | ГТ | | | % 0.1% |
| | FT PT | 44.4% 59.4% | 55.6% | 0.1% 0.0% |
| | Grand Total | 44.9% | | 0.0% |
| | Granu Total | 77.3/0 | JJ.U/0 | 0.1/6 |
| 0.1/ | 1 | | | |
| 2. Non USS | | 70 | 20/ | |
| Members | FT PT | | .3% | |
| | Grand Total | | .7% .0% | |
| | Granu Total | 100 | .0/0 | |
| | | _ | | |
| | Non USS M | embers F | PT/FT by | Gender |
| | | | | |

| | | | | Prefer to self | Total |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | | Female | | describe | |
| | | | % | % | |
| | FT | | 44.9% | | 100.0% |
| | PT | 80.5% | | | 100.0% |
| | Grand Total | 61.9% | 37.8% | 0.3% | 100.0% |
| Non USS | | . = - | •• | | |
| Members | FT | 88.0 | | | |
| Above | PT | 12.0 | | | |
| salary | Grand Total | 100.0 | 0% | | |
| £59883.65 | | | | | |
| | Non USS Memb | oers over 59 | K PT/I | T by Ger | nder |
| | | | | | |
| | | Fema | ale Ma | ale | |
| | | % | % | | |
| | FT | 22.7 | 7% 77 | .3% | |
| | PT | 66.7 | 7% 33 | .3% | |
| | Grand Total | 28.0 | 0% 72 | .0% | |
| | | | | | |
| Non USS | | | | | |
| Members | FT | 93.2% | | | |
| | PT | 6.8% | | | |
| Above | Grand Total | 100.0% | | | |
| salary | Grana rotar | 100.070 | | | |
| £40000.00 | Non USS Memb | oers over 40 | K PT/I | T by Ger | nder |
| | | | | | |
| | | Female | Male | | |
| | | % | % | | |
| | FT | 31.9% | 68.1% | 6 | |
| | PT | 60.0% | 40.0% | 6 | |
| | Grand Total | 33.8% | 66.29 | 6 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Anticipated impact of proposed reform on existing USS members | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Positive | Negative | Neutral | | | |
| Race | | ✓ | | | | |
| Disability | | ✓ | | | | |
| Sex | | ✓ | | | | |
| Age | | ✓ | | | | |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | N/A | | | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | | ✓ | | | | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | N/A | | | | |

| Religion and belief | ✓ | |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Sexual orientation | ✓ | |

If it is anticipated that the proposed reform will have a negative impact on one or more of these protected groups, please provide below the:

- Range of options for addressing anticipated negative impact:
- Preferred option for addressing likely negative effect:
- Reason for preferring this option

Proposed reform 2 has a negative impact on all USS members earning above £40,000, as from 1 April 2022 those members with earnings over £40,000 will build up a lower USS Retirement Income Builder (defined benefit) pension and lump sum than they do currently. There will be an increase to both member and employer contributions paid to the Investment Builder (defined contribution) section, as the contribution will be based on earnings over £40,000 rather than over £59,883.65 as per the current Rules, however it is not possible to accurately predict the retirement benefits available from the Investment Builder at retirement.

38.3% of USS members above the £40,000 salary threshold are from a Roman Catholic community background and 44.9% of USS members above the £40,000 salary threshold are female, compared to 28% of female USS members earning above £59,883.65.

For those employees who are not members, but are eligible to join the USS scheme, 70.3% of members earning above the £40,000 salary threshold are aged 30-44 compared to 44% of non-USS members above the current £59,883.65 salary threshold.

When analysing the data from the screening exercise at an intersectional level, certain groups may appear to be more adversely impacted by the proposal than others. For example, of those aged 40-54 who earn above the £40,000 salary threshold, 47.8% are female, compared to 52.2% male, this is compared to USS members above the current salary threshold of £59K, of which 38.8% of those aged are female, compared to 61.3% male.

Older members with longer service who are able/wish to retire soon, will be less impacted than younger members, or those who have just joined the Scheme. Older members, with longer service would have had most of their Retirement Income Builder pension calculated on their income up to the higher salary threshold of £59,883.65. Of those above the £40,000 threshold, 53% of USS members are aged 40-54 and of those eligible to become USS members, 50% are aged 30-39.

| | Anticipated negative impa | act of proposed reform on existing non-USS membe | ers |
|--------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| | Range of options | Preferred Option | Reason for preferred option |
| Race | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| Disability | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| Sex | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| Age | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | | |
| Religion and belief | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| Sexual orientation | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |

(3) Proposed reform:

From 1 April 2023, the Salary Threshold will continue to increase annually in line with official pensions, which are currently increased in line with the Consumer Prices Index (CPI), but subject to a lower maximum increase of 2.5% a year until 31 March 2025 or if earlier, the date of any change concluded by a review by the JNC of the amount of the Salary Threshold.

| Anticipated impact of proposed reform on existing USS members | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|---------|--|--|
| | Positive | Negative | Neutral | | |
| Race | | ✓ | | | |
| Disability | | ✓ | | | |
| Sex | | ✓ | | | |
| Age | | ✓ | | | |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | | ✓ | | | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Religion and belief | | ✓ | | | |
| Sexual orientation | | ✓ | | | |

If it is anticipated that the proposed reform will have a negative impact on one or more of these protected groups, please provide below the:

- Range of options for addressing anticipated negative impact:
- Preferred option for addressing likely negative effect:
- Reason for preferring this option

Proposed reform 3 could potentially have a negative impact from 1 April 2023, however as it is not possible to predict the Consumer Prices Index (CPI) rate in the future it is hard to confirm this. The Salary Threshold currently increases annually in line with official pensions, which is based on the Consumer Prices Index (CPI), however the proposal would introduce a maximum increase of 2.5% per year until 31 March 2025 or if earlier, the date of any change concluded by a review by the JNC.

If CPI between 1 April 2023 and 31 March 2025 was less than 2.5% there would be no impact to USS members. However, if CPI is higher than 2.5% members would be negatively impacted as the Salary Threshold would not be increasing in line with inflation. The September 2021 CPI was 3.1% - if it remained at this level over the next few years members would be negatively impacted.

As with reform 2, this reform could have a negative impact on all USS members earning above £40,000. 38.3% of USS members above the £40,000 salary threshold are from a Roman Catholic community background and 44.9% of USS members above the £40,000 salary threshold are female, compared to 28% of female USS members earning above £59,883.65.

For those employees who are not members, but are eligible to join the USS scheme, 70.3% of members earning above the £40,000 salary threshold are aged 30-44 compared to 44% of non-USS members above the current £59,883.65 salary threshold.

When analysing the data from the screening exercise at an intersectional level, certain groups may appear to be more adversely impacted by the proposal than others. For example, of those aged 40-54 who earn above the £40,000 salary threshold, 47.8% are female, compared to 52.2% male, this is compared to USS members above the current salary threshold of £59K, of which 38.8% of those aged are female, compared to 61.3% male.

Older members with longer service who are able/wish to retire soon, will be less impacted than younger members, or those who have just joined the Scheme. Older members, with longer service would have had most of their Retirement Income Builder pension calculated on their income up to the higher salary threshold of £59,883.65. Of those above the £40,000 threshold, 53% of USS members are aged 40-54 and of those eligible to become USS members, 50% are aged 30-39.

| | Anticipated negative impact of proposed reform on existing non-USS members | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Range of options | Preferred Option | Reason for preferred option | | | | |
| Race | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | |
| Disability | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | |
| Sex | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | |
| Age | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | | | | | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | | | | | | |
| Religion and belief | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | |
| Sexual orientation | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | | | | |

(4) Proposed reform:

Benefits earned in the USS Retirement Income Builder from 1 April 2022 will continue to see increases applied annually before and after members retire, but subject to a lower maximum of 2.5% a year.

| | Anticipated impact of proposed reform on existing USS members | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Positive | Negative | Neutral | | | |
| Race | | ✓ | | | | |
| Disability | | ✓ | | | | |
| Sex | | ✓ | | | | |
| Age | | ✓ | | | | |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | N/A | | | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | | ✓ | | | | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | N/A | | | | |
| Religion and belief | | ✓ | | | | |
| Sexual orientation | | ✓ | | | | |

If it is anticipated that the proposed reform will have a negative impact on one or more of these protected groups, please provide below the:

- Range of options for addressing anticipated negative impact:
- Preferred option for addressing likely negative effect:
- Reason for preferring this option

Proposed reform 4 could potentially have a negative impact from 1 April 2022, however as it is not possible to predict the Consumer Prices Index (CPI) rate in the future it is difficult to confirm this. Benefits earned in the USS Retirement Income Builder will continue to see increases applied annually before and after retirement, but subject to a lower maximum of 2.5% a year.

If CPI is higher than 2.5% all USS members would be negatively impacted as their pension would not be increasing in line with inflation. The September 2021 CPI was 3.1%, therefore members due an increase post 1 April 2022 could potentially be negatively impacted.

Assuming CPI is above 2.5%, proposed reform 4 will have a negative impact on all USS members. Some protected groups will be impacted more than others due to the composition of USS members at Queen's, by protected characteristics. For example, 46.7% of USS members are between ages 40-54 and 37.5% of USS members identify as being from a Roman Catholic community background.

In addition, as the proposal could potentially reduce the amount by which pensions increase each year (both before and after retirement), those older members with longer service who are able/wish to retire, will be less impacted than younger members, or those who have just joined the Scheme. As the proposed changes will impact benefits accrued from 1 April 2022 only, it can be assumed that older members have already built up most of their Retirement Income Builder pension which will not have the pension increases limited to 2.5%. Of those eligible to become USS members, 75.6% are aged 25-39 with only 14% of eligible USS members aged 40-54.

When analysing the data from the screening exercise at an intersectional level, certain groups may appear to be more adversely impacted by the proposal than others. For example, women with disabilities, women aged between 40 and 44 years old and women with dependants and women who work part-time.

| Anticipated negative impact of proposed reform on existing non-USS members | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Range of options Preferred Option Reason for preferred option | | | |
| Race | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| Disability | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. Continue with the reform Continue with the reform No direct discrimination identified | | No direct discrimination identified |
| Sex | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |

| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Age | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | | |
| Religion and belief | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Sexual orientation | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |

(5) Proposed reform:

From 1 April 2022, there will be a change of benefits for those who are members of USS for a short period (more than three months but less than two years).

| Anticipated impact of proposed reform on existing USS members | | | |
|---|----------|----------|---------|
| | Positive | Negative | Neutral |
| Race | ✓ | | |
| Disability | ✓ | | |
| Sex | ✓ | | |
| Age | ✓ | | |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | ✓ | | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | | |
| Religion and belief | ✓ | | |
| Sexual orientation | ✓ | | |

If it is anticipated that the proposed reform will have a negative impact on one or more of these protected groups, please provide below the:

- Range of options for addressing anticipated negative impact:
- Preferred option for addressing likely negative effect:
- Reason for preferring this option

Proposed reform 5 will have a positive impact for those individuals that leave USS with more than three months' but less than 2 years' qualifying service. This is because their deferred pension and lump sum benefits would be based on their length of service and salary, rather than the current value which is calculated based on member contributions paid (or salary sacrifice contributions paid by the employer), multiplied by an actuarial factor, but does not include the employer's normal contributions paid during the period.

On average, the calculation based on service and salary will result in a larger deferred benefit for early leavers. Under the proposal there would still be refund options (if applicable), and the option to transfer to another registered pension arrangement or recognised overseas pension scheme.

| | Anticipated negative impact of proposed reform on existing non-USS members | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Range of options | Preferred Option | Reason for preferred option |
| Race | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Disability | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Sex | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Age | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | | |
| Religion and belief | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Sexual orientation | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |

(6) Proposed reform:

The JNC has proposed changes to the scheme so that contributions won't need to rise significantly and impact affordability for members. However, in the absence of the JNC's (or other) proposed changes being executed by 28 February 2022, there is a proposed fall-back position, where contribution rates will increase every six months from 1 April 2022. In the fall-back position the increase would be from the October 2021 levels of 9.8% to 18.8% of salary for members by 1 October 2025. For employers, the increase would be from 21.4% to 38.2% (further details are set out in the USS Trustee's formal information notice.

| Anticipated impact of proposed reform on existing USS members | | | |
|---|----------|----------|---------|
| | Positive | Negative | Neutral |
| Race | | ✓ | |
| Disability | | ✓ | |
| Sex | | ✓ | |
| Age | | ✓ | |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | N/A | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | | ✓ | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | N/A | |
| Religion and belief | | ✓ | |
| Sexual orientation | | ✓ | |

If it is anticipated that the proposed reform will have a negative impact on one or more of these protected groups, please provide below the:

- Range of options for addressing anticipated negative impact:
- Preferred option for addressing likely negative effect:
- Reason for preferring this option

Proposed reform 6 has a negative financial impact on all USS members and on the University as contribution rates will increase every six months from 1 April 2022. Some protected groups will be impacted more than others due to the composition of USS members at Queen's, by protected characteristics. For example, those with dependants and 37.5% of USS members identify as being from a Roman Catholic community background.

If this proposal was introduced, it would mean that the benefit reform proposals will not be implemented from 1 April 2022 and therefore there would be no change to member benefits which could be seen as a positive impact. However, the impact of the contribution increases every 6 months, would have a negative impact on net take home pay for all members of the Scheme, and many members may have to cut costs elsewhere to maintain their pension contributions. Those older members with longer service who are able/wish to retire, will be less impacted than younger members, or those who have just joined the Scheme as most of their contributions would have been paid at the lower rates. Of those eligible to become USS members, 75.6% are aged 25-39 with only 14% of eligible USS members aged 40-54.

A consequence of the higher employer contributions to the scheme would limit the University's strategic investment opportunities, with less funds available to invest in staff and student facilities. This could have a negative impact on all USS and non-USS members.

When analysing the data from the screening exercise at an intersectional level, certain groups may appear to be more adversely impacted by the proposal than others. For example, women with disabilities, women aged between 40 and 44 years old and women with dependants and women who work part-time.

However, to the extent that there is any differential impact, arguably the proposal could be objectively justified by the need to ensure that the pension scheme is sustainable and continues to deliver valuable benefits to its members.

| | Anticipated negative impact of proposed reform on existing non-USS members | | | |
|------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Range of options Preferred Option Reason for preferred option | | | |
| Race | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | |
| Disability | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, abandon the reform, change the reform. | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | |
| Sex | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified | |

| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Age | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Gender reassignment | N/A | | |
| Marriage and civil partnership | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A | | |
| Religion and belief | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |
| Sexual orientation | No change to the reform, continue with the reform, | Continue with the reform | No direct discrimination identified |
| | abandon the reform, change the reform. | | |

STEP 3

Could the impacts identified in Step 2 above be minimised or removed or equality be promoted in some other way?

(1) Proposed reform:

From 1 April 2022 the rate at which members will build up future benefits in the DB section (USS's retirement income builder section) will change from 1 April 2022 (to a lower rate of 1/85 of salary compared to the current 1/75 of salary, and a separate lump sum of 3/85 rather than 3/75, up to the Salary Threshold).

| Group | Action required |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Race | Continue with the reform |
| Age | Continue with the reform |
| Sex | Continue with the reform |
| Gender reassignment | N/A |
| Marriage and civil | Continue with the reform |
| partnership | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A |
| Religion and belief | Continue with the reform |
| Sexual orientation | Continue with the reform |
| Disability | Continue with the reform |

(2) Proposed reform:

From 1 April 2022, the Salary Threshold will reduce from £59,883.65 to £40,000.

| Group | Action required |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Race | Continue with the reform |
| Age | Continue with the reform |
| Sex | Continue with the reform |
| Gender reassignment | N/A |
| Marriage and civil | Continue with the reform |
| partnership | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A |
| Religion and belief | Continue with the reform |
| Sexual orientation | Continue with the reform |
| Disability | Continue with the reform |

(3) Proposed reform:

From 1 April 2023, the Salary Threshold will continue to increase annually in line with official pensions, which are currently increased in line with the Consumer Prices Index (CPI), but subject to a lower maximum increase of 2.5% a year until 31 March 2025 or if earlier, the date of any change concluded by a review by the JNC of the amount of the Salary Threshold.

| Group | Action required |
|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Race | Continue with the reform |
| Age | Continue with the reform |
| Sex | Continue with the reform |
| Gender reassignment | N/A |
| Marriage and civil | Continue with the reform |

| partnership | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A |
| Religion and belief | Continue with the reform |
| Sexual orientation | Continue with the reform |
| Disability | Continue with the reform |

(4) Proposed reform:

Benefits earned in the USS Retirement Income Builder from 1 April 2022 will continue to see increases applied annually before and after members retire, but subject to a lower maximum of 2.5% a year.

| Group | Action required |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Race | Continue with the reform |
| Age | Continue with the reform |
| Sex | Continue with the reform |
| Gender reassignment | N/A |
| Marriage and civil partnership | Continue with the reform |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A |
| Religion and belief | Continue with the reform |
| Sexual orientation | Continue with the reform |
| Disability | Continue with the reform |

(5) Proposed reform:

From 1 April 2022, there will be a change of benefits for those who are members of USS for a short period (more than three months but less than two years).

| Group | Action required |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Race | Continue with the reform |
| Age | Continue with the reform |
| Sex | Continue with the reform |
| Gender reassignment | N/A |
| Marriage and civil | Continue with the reform |
| partnership | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A |
| Religion and belief | Continue with the reform |
| Sexual orientation | Continue with the reform |
| Disability | Continue with the reform |

(6) Proposed reform:

The JNC has proposed changes to the scheme so that contributions won't need to rise significantly and impact affordability for members. However, in the absence of the JNC's (or other) proposed changes being executed by 28 February 2022, there is a proposed fall-back position, where contribution rates will increase every six months from 1 April 2022. In the fall-back position the increase would be from the October 2021 levels of 9.8% to 18.8% of salary for members by 1 October 2025. For employers, the increase would be from 21.4% to 38.2% (further details are set out in the USS Trustee's formal information notice.

| Group | Action required |
|-------|--------------------------|
| Race | Continue with the reform |
| Age | Continue with the reform |

| Sex | Continue with the reform |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Gender reassignment | N/A |
| Marriage and civil | Continue with the reform |
| partnership | |
| Pregnancy and maternity | N/A |
| Religion and belief | Continue with the reform |
| Sexual orientation | Continue with the reform |
| Disability | Continue with the reform |

STEP 4

How will the reforms to the USS be monitored in the future and by whom? (consider a periodic (perhaps five-yearly) review of membership demographics and a repeat of the EIA?)

Any future changes to USS benefits and/or significant changes to USS membership will be monitored by the Pensions Office at QUB and an NI Screening exercise will be undertaken if required.

November 2021